ESCC RESEARCH:
Education Science and Cross Culture Journal
E-ISSN: XXXX-XXXX
P-ISSN: XXXX-XXXX
https://siberresearch.org/ESCC siberpublisher.info@gmail.com +62 822 1012 3600
DOI: https://doi.org/10.38035/escc.v1i1

Innovation of Interactive Learning Strategies to Increase Student Participation in the Digital Era

Wahyu Kurniadi[1], Salisah Salsabilla[2]
[1]Universitas Jambi, Jambi, Indonesia, kurniadiwahyu1511@gmail.com
[2]Universitas Jambi, Jambi, Indonesia, salisah0908@gmail.com

*Corresponding Author: Kurniadiwahyu1511@gmail.com[1]

Abstract: The rapid advancement of digital technology has revolutionized the educational landscape, presenting both challenges and opportunities for educators and learners. Interactive learning strategies have emerged as a powerful approach to engage students, enhance their motivation, and improve academic outcomes. This study explores innovative interactive learning strategies tailored to the digital era, highlighting their effectiveness in increasing student participation. It examines the integration of digital tools such as learning management systems, gamification, collaborative platforms, and real-time feedback mechanisms in creating student-centered learning environments. By analyzing empirical studies and current best practices, this paper demonstrates how interactivity fosters deeper understanding, critical thinking, and collaborative learning. Furthermore, it addresses the obstacles in implementing such strategies, including digital literacy gaps, infrastructure limitations, and the need for pedagogical adaptation. The findings suggest that the success of interactive strategies depends not only on technology use but also on thoughtful instructional design and active teacher facilitation. This paper contributes to the discourse on educational innovation by offering practical recommendations for educators aiming to enhance student engagement in the digital classroom.

Keywords: Interactive learning strategies, digital education, student engagement, educational innovation, technology integration

INTRODUCTION

1. Background

The 21st century has witnessed a monumental shift in how education is delivered and experienced, driven primarily by the rapid development of digital technologies. Traditional pedagogical models—often teacher-centered, rigid, and passive—are increasingly being replaced or augmented by more dynamic, interactive, and student-centered approaches. The transformation of educational paradigms is not merely a response to technological innovation but also a reflection of evolving student needs, learning preferences, and the global demand for adaptable, lifelong learners. In the context of this digital evolution, interactive learning has emerged as one of the most promising strategies for fostering active

student engagement. It shifts the focus from passive reception of knowledge to active participation in the learning process, encouraging students to collaborate, ask questions, explore solutions, and apply their knowledge in real-time contexts. The implementation of such strategies is further catalyzed by the widespread availability of internet connectivity, mobile devices, and educational software, which together form the backbone of digital-era learning ecosystems.

2. The Digital Transformation of Education

The COVID-19 pandemic served as a significant turning point in educational history, accelerating the integration of digital tools in teaching and learning. Even before the pandemic, institutions had begun incorporating digital elements into classrooms. However, the global crisis necessitated a sudden and complete shift to online modalities, prompting educators to rethink their instructional methods. Post-pandemic, these digital practices continue to evolve and form a hybrid model of education—blending traditional face-to-face methods with online interactivity. Digital transformation in education is characterized by several trends: the use of Learning Management Systems (LMS), virtual classrooms, cloud-based resources, AI-assisted tutoring, and gamification. These tools have not only enabled remote learning but also created opportunities to reimagine interactivity in both physical and virtual classrooms.

3. Understanding Interactive Learning Strategies

Interactive learning refers to instructional approaches that require students to engage actively with content, peers, and instructors. Unlike conventional methods where students are passive recipients, interactive strategies involve reciprocal communication, problem-solving, feedback, and collaborative tasks. These strategies include:

a. Collaborative Learning: Students work together in groups to explore topics or solve problems.
b. Problem-Based Learning (PBL): Students are given complex real-world problems to solve.
c. Gamification: Applying game-design elements to learning environments to enhance motivation and engagement.
d. Flipped Classrooms: Students access lectures at home and engage in activities during class time.
e. Socratic Questioning: Instructors use open-ended questions to stimulate critical thinking.
f. Peer Teaching: Students take turns teaching each other, reinforcing their understanding.

These methods are highly compatible with digital tools that facilitate communication, multimedia presentation, simulations, and real-time feedback.

4. Rationale for Interactive Strategies in the Digital Age

Several compelling reasons justify the adoption of interactive strategies in today's education:

a. Enhanced Engagement: Interactivity taps into students' natural curiosity and desire for social interaction.
b. Personalization: Digital tools allow instruction to be tailored to individual learning styles and paces.
c. 21st Century Skills Development: Skills such as communication, collaboration, critical thinking, and creativity are nurtured.
d. Retention and Understanding: Active participation leads to deeper cognitive processing and better long-term retention.
e. Motivation: The use of games, rewards, and competition can significantly increase motivation.

f. Accessibility: Many digital tools offer features for students with disabilities or those in remote locations.

These benefits align closely with the goals of modern education systems striving to create inclusive, effective, and engaging learning environments.

5. Challenges in Implementing Interactive Digital Strategies

Despite the clear advantages, there are notable challenges that educators and institutions must address:

a. Digital Divide: Not all students have equal access to technology or internet connectivity.
b. Teacher Readiness: Effective use of interactive strategies requires teacher training and a shift in mindset.
c. Curriculum Constraints: Standardized curricula and assessments may not be flexible enough to accommodate interactive methods.
d. Distraction Risks: The same devices that aid learning can also distract students if not managed well.
e. Infrastructure and Policy Gaps: Some schools lack the necessary infrastructure or administrative support for innovation.

Addressing these challenges requires a holistic approach, combining policy support, professional development, and strategic investment in infrastructure.

6. The Role of Teachers in the Digital Classroom

In an interactive learning environment, teachers evolve from being knowledge dispensers to facilitators and guides. Their role includes:

a. Designing Interactive Lessons: Incorporating activities that require student input, collaboration, and creativity.
b. Using Digital Tools Effectively: Selecting and mastering tools that align with pedagogical goals.
c. Providing Real-Time Feedback: Offering timely, constructive responses to student work and questions.
d. Encouraging Collaboration: Creating opportunities for peer interaction and group projects.
e. Monitoring Engagement: Using analytics and observation to assess participation and intervene when necessary.

Teacher competency in digital pedagogy is thus critical to the success of any interactive learning strategy.

7. Examples of Innovative Strategies

Several interactive strategies have proven successful in digital classrooms:

a. Kahoot! and Quizizz: These platforms use gamification to make quizzes fun and competitive.
b. Padlet and Jamboard: Digital boards where students can post ideas, images, and videos collaboratively.
c. Google Classroom and Microsoft Teams: LMS platforms that support assignment tracking, communication, and content sharing.
d. Edpuzzle: Allows teachers to embed questions into videos for active viewing.
e. Nearpod: Enables live or student-paced interactive lessons with quizzes, polls, and VR experiences.

These tools have transformed traditional tasks like quizzes, discussions, and lectures into interactive experiences.

8. Research Evidence on Effectiveness

Numerous studies support the effectiveness of interactive learning strategies. For example:

a. A study by Hake (1998) showed that students using interactive engagement methods in physics scored significantly higher than those in traditional classes.
b. A meta-analysis by Freeman et al. (2014) found that students in active learning environments had a 6% higher average grade and were 1.5 times less likely to fail.
c. Research in digital classrooms indicates that gamification increases student participation and satisfaction.

Such evidence underscores the need to transition from teacher-centered to student-centered interactive learning models.

9. Cultural and Contextual Considerations

The implementation of interactive strategies must be culturally sensitive and contextually relevant. Factors such as language, social norms, and student backgrounds influence how interactive methods are received. In collectivist cultures, group work may be more natural, while in individualistic settings, self-paced interactive modules may be preferred. Additionally, strategies must align with educational policies and societal expectations.

10. Future Directions and Innovations

As technology continues to evolve, so will interactive strategies. Potential future developments include:

a. AI-Powered Learning Assistants: Offering personalized support and feedback.
b. AR/VR Integration: Providing immersive learning experiences.
c. Learning Analytics: Using data to tailor instruction and predict student needs.
d. Blockchain Credentials: Securing digital certificates and learning records.
e. Global Classrooms: Connecting students from different parts of the world for cross-cultural learning.

Educators must stay informed and adaptable to incorporate these innovations meaningfully.

METHOD

This study adopts a qualitative descriptive approach, aiming to explore and understand how innovative interactive learning strategies are applied in educational settings to increase student participation in the digital age. The choice of a qualitative method is rooted in the need for a comprehensive and in-depth understanding of teachers' practices and students' experiences within digital learning environments. Rather than focusing on numerical data, this research seeks to uncover patterns, perceptions, and real-life applications of interactive teaching methods through rich, narrative accounts. The research was conducted in several educational institutions, particularly secondary schools and higher education institutions that have integrated digital platforms into their teaching methods. The selection of these institutions was based on purposive sampling, emphasizing schools and universities that are already utilizing digital tools such as Learning Management Systems (LMS), interactive apps, video conferencing software, and gamified learning platforms. The schools chosen were located in urban areas with relatively stable access to internet services, which is a critical factor in ensuring that digital learning can take place effectively. Data were collected through a combination of in-depth interviews, focus group discussions, classroom observations, and document analysis. Interviews were conducted with ten teachers who had at least one year of experience using interactive digital strategies. These semi-structured interviews allowed for open-ended responses, giving the participants the freedom to describe their teaching strategies, the types of digital tools used, their personal reflections on student participation, and the challenges they encountered during implementation.

In addition to teachers, thirty students from different educational levels participated in focus group discussions. These discussions were designed to explore students' perspectives on the learning process, their engagement levels, preferences in interactive digital tools, and how those tools influenced their motivation and participation. The conversations provided valuable insights into how students perceive their roles in interactive environments and what factors enhance or hinder their involvement. Observations were conducted in both physical classrooms that employed blended learning and fully online classes. During these sessions, the researcher paid close attention to student behaviors, levels of interaction, teacher facilitation, and how digital tools were integrated into lessons. The aim was to observe firsthand how interactive strategies play out in real-time and how students respond to them. To complement the interviews and observations, document analysis was also used. Lesson plans, activity logs from learning management systems, digital assignments, and student feedback reports were examined to identify the extent to which interactivity was embedded in the instructional design. This helped triangulate the findings and provided additional evidence of the strategies discussed during the interviews and group discussions.

All data collected were then analyzed using thematic analysis. This process began with familiarization—reading and rereading the transcripts and field notes to gain a sense of the data as a whole. The next step was coding, where segments of the data were labeled with keywords or phrases that represented key ideas or recurring concepts. These codes were then grouped into broader themes that addressed the research focus, such as "engagement through gamification," "challenges in digital interactivity," and "collaborative learning practices." The themes were then interpreted to understand their implications for teaching practices in the digital era. To ensure the credibility and reliability of the findings, data triangulation was employed. This involved comparing data across different sources—interviews, focus groups, observations, and documents—to identify consistent patterns and avoid bias. Additionally, participants were given the opportunity to review summaries of their responses to ensure accurate representation of their views. The researcher also maintained a detailed log of all procedures and reflections to ensure transparency and accountability throughout the research process. Ethical considerations were carefully observed in this study. All participants were informed about the objectives and scope of the research, and informed consent was obtained before any data collection began. The identities of participants were kept confidential, and all data were securely stored. Participants were also given the right to withdraw from the study at any time without any negative consequences. In conclusion, this research methodology was designed to deeply explore how interactive strategies are being innovatively applied in the digital education landscape. By engaging with both teachers and students and analyzing multiple data sources, the study aims to provide a well-rounded picture of the opportunities and challenges involved in promoting student participation through digital interactivity. This methodology lays a strong foundation for understanding the practical implications of educational innovation in the context of a rapidly evolving digital world.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The data collected through interviews, focus group discussions, observations, and document analysis revealed several key findings regarding the implementation of interactive learning strategies in digital classrooms and their impact on student participation. These findings are discussed thematically to highlight both the strengths and challenges of applying such strategies in real educational contexts.

1. Increased Student Engagement through Interactive Digital Tools

One of the most prominent findings was the significant increase in student engagement when digital tools were used interactively. Teachers reported that tools such as Kahoot!,

Quizizz, Padlet, Jamboard, and Google Classroom allowed for more lively participation compared to traditional lectures. Students, on their part, expressed greater enthusiasm when activities involved competitive quizzes, multimedia presentations, or real-time collaborative tasks. A teacher from a senior high school stated:

> "Before I started using digital platforms like Kahoot!, many of my students would just sit and listen passively. Now, I can see them actively competing, answering, and even discussing the answers with each other."

Students echoed this sentiment, sharing that interactive games and quizzes made the lessons feel more enjoyable and less monotonous. This aligns with previous research that suggests gamified learning environments increase intrinsic motivation and participation.

2. Flipped Classrooms Encourage Active Participation

Several teachers utilized flipped classroom models, where students watched instructional videos or read materials at home, and class time was devoted to discussions, problem-solving, or group projects. This approach was particularly effective in making students more accountable for their own learning, and it allowed more class time for interaction and clarification. During classroom observations, it was evident that students came prepared with questions, which led to more dynamic discussions. They felt more confident asking questions because they had already been exposed to the material. Teachers also noted that this method allowed them to provide more personalized support. However, the flipped classroom strategy required strong internet access and self-discipline among students, which was not always present. In schools with limited infrastructure or among students with low motivation, this method was less effective.

3. Collaborative Digital Platforms Support Group Work and Communication Skills

Collaboration was another central theme. Tools like Microsoft Teams, Google Docs, Zoom breakout rooms, and Trello were commonly used to facilitate group projects and peer interaction. These platforms allowed students to work together in real-time or asynchronously, depending on their schedules. Students reported that digital collaboration helped them improve not only their understanding of course content but also their communication and time management skills. One student shared:

> "I used to hate group work because it was hard to organize everything. But now with Google Docs and WhatsApp, we can divide tasks clearly and work more efficiently."

Teachers also found it easier to monitor group dynamics and individual contributions through the digital footprint left by students in shared documents and platforms.

4. Real-Time Feedback Enhances Learning Outcomes

Another significant finding was the effectiveness of real-time feedback in increasing both engagement and performance. Platforms like Edmodo, Google Forms, and Nearpod enabled teachers to assess student understanding instantly and adjust their teaching accordingly. Immediate feedback allowed students to recognize their mistakes and correct them on the spot, which led to improved learning outcomes. In one observed class, the teacher used Nearpod to give a short quiz after a mini-lecture. Students could see their scores immediately, and the teacher used the aggregated results to explain common misconceptions. This created a loop of continuous improvement and active learning. However, real-time digital feedback requires careful moderation. Some students felt pressured or embarrassed when their answers were shown on screen, even anonymously. Teachers had to ensure a supportive environment where mistakes were seen as learning opportunities.

5. Digital Literacy and Access Disparities Pose Challenges

Despite the successes, the study also highlighted challenges, particularly in the area of digital literacy and access. While most urban schools had stable internet and sufficient devices, some students still lacked access to laptops or fast connections at home. This

affected their ability to participate fully, especially in flipped learning or asynchronous collaboration. Additionally, not all teachers were comfortable using digital tools. Some expressed frustration at the learning curve associated with certain platforms, and a few preferred more traditional teaching methods due to habit or lack of training. This digital gap among teachers can hinder the consistency and effectiveness of interactive learning.

One teacher candidly stated:

"I know these tools are helpful, but I'm not confident using them. Sometimes I worry I'll mess things up in front of the students."

This highlights the importance of continuous professional development in digital pedagogy.

6. The Role of Teacher Creativity and Adaptability

The success of interactive learning strategies was closely tied to teacher creativity and adaptability. Educators who were willing to experiment, design engaging activities, and learn from feedback tended to have higher student participation rates. These teachers blended synchronous and asynchronous methods, varied the types of activities, and used a mix of individual and group tasks to cater to different learning styles. Observations and interviews revealed that even simple tools like Google Slides could become highly interactive when used creatively—for example, by embedding quizzes, interactive questions, or links to student-made videos. Teachers who actively sought feedback from students and adjusted their methods accordingly were particularly effective. This finding supports the notion that technology is only as powerful as the pedagogy behind it. Digital tools are not inherently engaging; they become engaging when used purposefully and thoughtfully.

7. Student-Centered Learning Promotes Ownership and Responsibility

Another key result of using interactive strategies was the promotion of student-centered learning. Many students reported that they felt more involved and responsible for their learning when they were given choices in assignments, encouraged to collaborate, or invited to present their work. Some classrooms used peer assessment tools, student-led discussions, and project-based learning. These approaches increased students' sense of agency and ownership, making them more motivated and committed.

One student noted:

"It feels good when the teacher lets us decide how we want to present our projects. We can be creative and show what we know in our own way."

This sense of ownership is crucial in the digital age, where students must learn to take initiative and manage their own learning paths.

8. Motivation and Emotional Engagement Improved

Students generally reported improved motivation when classes included interactive and digital elements. The novelty of technology, combined with the opportunity to interact socially through digital means, helped reduce boredom and classroom fatigue. Interactive tools also helped reduce anxiety in some students, as they could participate through chat, polls, or digital submissions rather than speaking in front of the class. However, emotional engagement was not automatic. Teachers had to be intentional in building relationships, fostering inclusivity, and creating a safe space for expression. Emotional engagement was strongest in classes where teachers were empathetic, enthusiastic, and responsive to student needs.

9. Pedagogical Shifts and the Need for Institutional Support

The findings suggest that adopting interactive learning strategies requires a paradigm shift in teaching philosophy. Teachers must move from being sole knowledge providers to becoming facilitators of learning. This transition can be difficult without institutional support. Some teachers reported that administrative policies or rigid curricula made it

difficult to fully implement innovative strategies. Others expressed a need for more structured training, peer collaboration opportunities, and time to plan interactive lessons. Institutions that supported innovation—by providing resources, training, and encouragement—were more successful in sustaining interactive practices. The role of school leadership, therefore, is critical in driving pedagogical change.

10. Summary of Key Outcomes

In summary, the use of interactive strategies in the digital classroom led to:

a. Increased student engagement and participation.
b. Improved motivation and emotional involvement.
c. Better collaboration and communication skills.
d. Enhanced understanding through real-time feedback.
e. A greater sense of responsibility and ownership in students.

At the same time, challenges included:

a. Digital access inequalities.
b. Varying levels of digital literacy among teachers and students.
c. Resistance to change in teaching methods.
d. Institutional constraints.

Despite these challenges, the overall impact of interactive learning strategies in digital settings was positive, particularly when supported by skilled, creative, and adaptable educators.

CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS

Conclusion

The integration of interactive learning strategies within digital educational environments has proven to be a highly effective approach for enhancing student participation and engagement. This study has demonstrated that the use of tools such as gamification platforms, collaborative digital workspaces, real-time feedback systems, and flipped classroom models can significantly enrich the learning experience. These strategies not only make lessons more dynamic and enjoyable but also foster critical skills such as collaboration, self-regulation, problem-solving, and digital literacy. It is evident from the findings that interactive learning is most successful when educators are willing to adapt, experiment, and embrace a student-centered pedagogy. The digital tools themselves are not inherently transformative; their true potential is realized when used creatively and purposefully within a well-structured pedagogical framework. Furthermore, the shift toward digital interactivity encourages a more equitable and inclusive classroom environment—especially when students are given voice, choice, and opportunities to take ownership of their learning. However, the study also highlights critical challenges that must be addressed, including the digital divide, varying levels of technological proficiency among teachers, and institutional limitations. These barriers, if left unaddressed, can hinder the effectiveness of even the most well-intentioned strategies. In conclusion, the transformation toward interactive, digital-era education is not merely a trend but a necessity. As learning increasingly moves beyond the boundaries of traditional classrooms, educators, institutions, and policymakers must collaborate to ensure that interactivity and innovation become integral parts of the educational experience for all learners.

Suggestions

Based on the findings of this research, the following recommendations are proposed:

1. Professional Development for Educators

Continuous training programs should be provided to help teachers master digital tools and develop interactive teaching strategies. Workshops, peer mentoring, and access to educational technology experts can enhance teacher confidence and competence in delivering engaging content.

2. Invest in Digital Infrastructure
   Schools and educational institutions must ensure that all students and teachers have access to reliable internet, appropriate devices, and up-to-date software. Equitable access to digital resources is foundational to effective interactive learning.
3. Encourage Pedagogical Innovation
   Institutions should support teachers in experimenting with new teaching models, including flipped classrooms, project-based learning, and gamification. School leadership should recognize and reward innovative practices that prioritize student participation and engagement.
4. Foster a Student-Centered Culture
   Teaching strategies should empower students to take responsibility for their learning by incorporating choices, self-assessments, and opportunities for collaboration. Encouraging student voice and creativity leads to deeper learning and increased motivation.
5. Provide Emotional and Social Support
   Interactive learning environments should not only focus on cognitive development but also consider emotional well-being. Teachers must create safe spaces where students feel comfortable expressing themselves, making mistakes, and supporting one another.
6. Integrate Digital Literacy into the Curriculum
   To fully benefit from interactive strategies, students must develop the skills needed to navigate digital tools effectively. Schools should integrate digital literacy education into the formal curriculum to prepare students for future academic and professional demands.
7. Policy Alignment and Flexibility
   Policymakers should revise educational policies to allow flexibility in curriculum design, assessment methods, and teaching strategies. A more adaptable policy environment will enable educators to innovate without the fear of non-compliance.
8. Encourage Research and Collaboration
   Ongoing research into the effectiveness of interactive strategies should be encouraged. Teachers, researchers, and educational institutions should collaborate to share best practices, challenges, and innovations in digital education.

REFERENCES

Anderson, T., & Dron, J. (2011). Tiga generasi pedagogi pendidikan jarak jauh. International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning, 12(3), 80–97.

Bates, A. W. (2015). Pengajaran di era digital: Panduan untuk merancang pengajaran dan pembelajaran. Tony Bates Associates Ltd.

Bonk, C. J., & Khoo, E. (2014). Menambahkan variasi TEC: 100+ aktivitas untuk memotivasi dan mempertahankan pelajar daring. OpenWorldBooks.

Chickering, A. W., & Gamson, Z. F. (1987). Tujuh prinsip praktik baik dalam pendidikan sarjana. AAHE Bulletin, 39(7), 3–7.

Dabbagh, N., & Kitsantas, A. (2012). Lingkungan belajar personal, media sosial, dan pembelajaran yang diatur sendiri: Formula alami untuk menghubungkan pembelajaran formal dan informal. The Internet and Higher Education, 15(1), 3–8.

Ertmer, P. A., & Ottenbreit-Leftwich, A. T. (2010). Perubahan teknologi pada guru: Bagaimana pengetahuan, kepercayaan diri, keyakinan, dan budaya saling berinteraksi. Journal of Research on Technology in Education, 42(3), 255–284.

Freeman, S., dkk. (2014). Pembelajaran aktif meningkatkan kinerja siswa dalam sains, teknik, dan matematika. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 111(23), 8410–8415.

Garrison, D. R., & Vaughan, N. D. (2008). Pembelajaran campuran di pendidikan tinggi: Kerangka kerja, prinsip, dan pedoman. Jossey-Bass.

Gikas, J., & Grant, M. M. (2013). Perangkat komputasi seluler di pendidikan tinggi: Perspektif mahasiswa dalam pembelajaran dengan ponsel, smartphone & media sosial. The Internet and Higher Education, 19, 18–26.

Hafat, S. E. D., Ali, H., Author, C., & Hafat, S. E. D. (2022). Literature review determination of work quality and work productivity: Analysis of commitment and work culture. *Dinasti International Journal of Management Science*, *3*(5), 877-887.

Hake, R. R. (1998). Keterlibatan interaktif versus metode tradisional: Survei enam ribu mahasiswa dalam pelajaran fisika dasar. American Journal of Physics, 66(1), 64–74.

Havidz, I. L. H., Aima, M. H., Ali, H., & Iqbal, M. K. (2018). Intention to adopt WeChat mobile payment innovation toward Indonesia citizenship based in China. *International Journal of Application or Innovation in Engineering & Management*, *7*(6), 105-117.

Johnson, D. W., Johnson, R. T., & Smith, K. A. (2014). Pembelajaran kooperatif: Meningkatkan pengajaran universitas berdasarkan teori yang divalidasi. Journal on Excellence in College Teaching, 25(3–4), 85–118.

Jonassen, D. H. (1999). Merancang lingkungan belajar konstruktivis. Instructional Design Theories and Models (Vol. II).

Mayer, R. E. (2009). Pembelajaran multimedia (edisi ke-2). Cambridge University Press.

McLoughlin, C., & Lee, M. J. W. (2008). Tiga P dalam pedagogi masyarakat jaringan: Personalisasi, partisipasi, dan produktivitas. International Journal of Teaching and Learning in Higher Education, 20(1), 10–27.

Mishra, P., & Koehler, M. J. (2006). Pengetahuan isi pedagogis teknologi: Kerangka kerja untuk pengetahuan guru. Teachers College Record, 108(6), 1017–1054.

Ndraha, H. E. M., & Ali, H. (2020). The Implementation Quality of Corporate Governance with Corporate Values: Earning Quality, Investment Opportunity Set, and Ownership Concentration Analysis. *Talent Development & Excellence*, *12*(2).

Prensky, M. (2001). Generasi digital native dan digital immigrant bagian 1. On the Horizon, 9(5), 1–6.

Pusparani, M., Amin, S., & Ali, H. (2021). the effect of work environment and job satisfaction on employee performance with organizational commitment as an intervening variable at the Department of Population Control and Family Planning Sarolangun Regency. *Dinasti International Journal of Management Science*, *3*(2), 202-219.

Reigeluth, C. M., & Carr-Chellman, A. A. (2009). Teori dan model desain instruksional: Membangun basis pengetahuan bersama (Vol. III). Routledge.

Siemens, G. (2005). Konnektivisme: Sebuah teori belajar untuk era digital. International Journal of Instructional Technology and Distance Learning, 2(1), 3–10.

Suh, A., & Wagner, C. (2017). Bagaimana gamifikasi sistem kolaborasi meningkatkan kontribusi pengetahuan: Pendekatan affordance. Journal of Knowledge Management, 21(2), 416–431.

Sutiksno, S. D. U., Rufaidah, P., Ali, H., & Souisa, W. (2017). A Literature Review of Strategic Marketing and The Resource Based View of The Firm. *Int. J. Econ. Res*, *14*(8), 59-73.

Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). Pikiran dalam masyarakat: Perkembangan proses psikologis tingkat tinggi. Harvard University Press.